The reading section claims that it has a lot of benefits to store medical records electronically and provides three reasons to support. On the other hand, the lecturer opposes this idea and refutes all the reasons that the reading has provided.

At first, the article states that using electronic records will reduce the costs significantly. However, the speaker claims that it is not so significant as the reading states. All the doctors do not through away the papers and they store them as backups because they include signatures and are important for legal problems. So they still pay storage costs.

secondly, the reading claims that electronic records reduce medical errors that come from handwriting. In contrast, the lecturer says that by electronic records, doctors still using pens. They often do not use computers and instead, they send their handwritings to the office staffs to transform them to electronic forms. Therefore, poor handwriting will be a problem again because office staffs might not do their job correctly.

Moreover, the text stated that these electronic records aids the researches. But the teacher claimed that the privacy laws would be a barrier for any researcher. Thus, researchers must get the permission of the authorities and it is a very long process which is not attractive for anyone. Also, some doctors do not want to let others use their own data so this would make the data not granted for research.